

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
HOUSTON NATHANIEL III,
Defendant.

Case No.12-cr-00574-PJH-2

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION**

Re: Dkt. No. 621

In the above-captioned case, defendant has filed a pro se motion under Rule 60(b)(6) to reopen the final judgment of his section 2255 proceedings. See Dkt. 615. The government filed a motion to extend the time to respond to defendant's motion, then filed a revised motion with a certificate of service attached. See Dkt. 619, 621. Defendant has not filed a response to the extension request. The government's request is GRANTED, and the government shall have until **March 31, 2025** to file a response to defendant's pro se motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 27, 2025

/s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge